State of Minnesota cunty of Hennepin CONTROLLING CONTROL NO. M.O.C. CCI LIST CHARGE STATUTE ONLY GOC AGENCY N2080 07002008 1 MSS 609.746, subd. 1(c) 11. MSS 609.72, subd. 1(3) M3030 COURT CASE NO DATE FILED Tab Charge Previously Filed Amended v it domestic used this defined by MS 51838.01, sub20.50 s at more than 6 counts (see attached) ISÉRIOUS FELONY **SUMMONS** WARRANT FELONY State of Minnesota **GROSS MISDM DWI** ORDER OF DETENTION PLAINTIFF. VS. GROSS MISDM SHS CO NAME: first middle, last Date of Birth LARRY EDWIN CRAIG WASHINGTON DC 20025ENDANT M.A.C. PLATE #: DLN# COMPLAINT The Complainant, being duly sworn, makes complaint to the above-named Court and states that there is probable cause to believe that the Defendant committed the following offense (s). The complainant states that the following facts establish PROBABLE CAUSE:

Your Complainant is a Sergeant with the Metropolitan Airports Commission Police Department who alleges the following:

On June 11, 2007, at approximately 12:00 p.m.. Sergeant Karsnia entered the main men's public restroom of the North Star Crossing in the Lindbergh Terminal, at the Minneapolis-St. Paul International Airport, County of Henriepin, State of Minnesota Sergeant Karsnia was in plain clothes for the purpose of investigating allegations of sexual conduct in the public bathroom. Sergeant Karsnia observed that there were numerous persons in the bathroom appearing to use the bathroom for its intended purposes and some but not all, of the bathroom stalls were occupied. Sergeant Karsnia entered an unoccupied bathroom stall at the back of the restroom, the third stall from the back wall. Sergeant Karsnia observed the shoes and ankles of unidentified people in each of the bathroom stalls adjacent to his.

At approximately 12:13 p.m., Sergeant Karsnia observed an older white male, later identified as the above-named Defendant, standing outside of the stall occupied by Sergeant Karsnia. Sergeant Karsnia observed the Defendant look through the crack between the stall door and its frame, into the stall that Sergeant Karsnia was occupying. Sergeant Karsnia observed the Defendant appear to ook at his own fidgeting fingers and then return again to gazing into the stall of Sergeant Karsnia through the crack. Sergeant Karsnia observed the Defendant repeat this conduct in the same pattern for approximately two minutes. The Defendant peered long enough that Sergeant Karsnia was able to observe that the Defendant had blue eyes.

At approximately 12:15 p.m. Sergeant Karsnia observed that the individual occupying the stall to the left of Sergeant Karsnia flushed the toilet and exited the stall. Sergeant Karsnia observed the Defendant enter the stall and could see that the Defendant had placed his roller bag against the front of the stall door, which Sergeant Karsnia's experience has indicated is used to attempt to conceal sexual conduct by blocking the view from the front of the stall. Sergeant Karsnia observed the Defendant's shoes and ankles. Sergeant Karsnia observed the Defendant tap his right foot, which Sergeant Karsnia recognized as a signal often used by persons communicating a desire to engage in sexual conduct. Sergeant Karsnia observed the Defendant tap his foot several more times and move his foot closer to the stall occupied by Sergeant Karsnia. Sergeant Karsnia observed the Defendant move his right foot so that it to ched Sergeant Karsnia's left foot, at which point the Defendant's foot was

Defendant move his right foot so that it to coned Sergeant Karsnia's left foot, at which point the Defendant's foot was

THEREFORE, Complainant requests that said' Defendant, subject to bail or conditions of release be:

(1) unrested or that other lawful steps be taken to obtain defendant's appearance in court br
(2) detained, if already in custody, pending further proceedings;
and that said Defendant otherwise be dealt with according to law.

COMPLAINANT SIGNATURE

Sergeant David Karsnia

Being duly authorized to messecute the offensets, charged, I hereby appropriate Complaint.

PROSECUTING ATTORNEY SESTEMATURE

PROMECUTING AUTORNEY:

NAME THEFT:

ADDRESS/TELEPHONE:

Christopher P. Renz, 3300 Edinborough Way, Suite 600, Edina, MN 55435 (952)835-7000

FORM B

1131

Larry Edwin Craig
! = 2 of 3
Hennepin County, M-SP Airport

within the stall area of the stall occupied by Sergeant Karsnia. Sergeant Karsnia could hear other people using the restroom and observed that the bathroom stall to his right was occupied.

Sergeant Karsnia then observed the Defendant swipe his hand under the stall divider for a few seconds, swiping from the front of the stall back towards the back wall, which was done with the Defendant's hand palm-up and guiding it along the stall divider. Sergeant Karsnia observed the Defendant again swipe his hand in the same motion and manner. Sergeant Karsnia observed the Defendant's make the same motion for a third time. Sergeant Karsnia observed that it was the Defendant's left hand due to the Elefendant's thumb position. Sergeant Karsnia also observed a gold ring on the Defendant's ring finger.

At approximately 12:19 p.m., Sergeant Karsnia showed the Defendant his police identification under the stall and pointed towards the exit, at which time the Defendant exclaimed "No!" Sergeant Karsnia again pointed to the exit Sergeant Karsnia observed the Defendant exit the stall with his bag and without flushing the toilet. Sergeant Karsnia observed that not all of the stalls were occupied.

OFFENSE

Based on the above, Complainant alleges that on June 11, 2007, at the Minneapolis-St. Paul International Airport. County of Hennepin. State of Minnesota, Larry E. Craig, then and there being, violated the provisions of:

PEEP: Interference with Privacy: Minn. Stat. Sec. 609.746, subd. 1(c), by surreptitiously gazing staring, or peeping in the window or other aperture of a sleeping room in a hotel, as defined in section 327.70, subd. 3 a tanning trooth, or other place where a reasonable person would have an expectation of privacy and has exposed or is likely to expose their intimate parts, as defined in section 609.341, subd. 5, or the clothing covering the immediate area of the intimate parts and doing so with the intent to

intrude upon or interfere with the privacy of the occupant; a Gross Misdemeanor.

DISOR: Disorderly Conduct: Minn. Stat. Sec. 609.72, subd. 1(3), by engaging in offensive, obscene abusive boisterous, or no sy conduct or in offensive, obscene, or abusive language tending reasonably to arouse alarm, anger or resentment in others, in a public or private place, knowing, or having reasonable grounds to know that it will, or will tend to, alarm, anger or disturb others or provoke an assault or preach of the peace; a Misdemeanor.

This COMPLAINT was subscribed and sworn to before the widersigned this

day of

NAME:

SIGNATURE:

TIGF

FINDING OF PROBABLE CAUSE

From the above sworn facts, any supporting afficavits or supplemental sworn testimony, I, the Issuing Officer, have determined that probable cause exists to support, subject to bail or conditions of release where applicable, Defendant (s) arrest or other lawful steps be taken to obtain Defendant (x) appearance in Court, or Defendant (x) detention, if already in custody, pending further proceedings. The Describing (s) is/are thereof charged with the above-stated offense.

SUMMONS

X THEREFORE You, THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT(S). ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to appear on the day of . 20 at AM/PM before the above-named court at to answer this complaint.

IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR in response to this SUMMONS, a WARRANT FOR YOUR ARREST shall be issued.

WARRANT

EXECUTE IN MINNESOTA ONLY

To the sheriff of the above-named county; or other person authorized to execute this WARRANT; I hereby order, in the name of the State of Minnesota, that the above-named Defindant (s) be apprehended and arrested without delay and brought promptly before the above-named Court (i) in session, and if not vefore a Judge or Judicial Officer of such Court without unnecessary delay, and in any event not later than 36 hours after the arrest or as soon thereafter as such Judge or Judicial Officer is available) to be dealt with according to law

ORDER OF DETENTION

Since the above-named Defendant (s) is/are already in custody: I hereby order; subject to bail or conditions of release, that the above-named Defendant (s) continue to be detained pending further proceedings.

But!

Conditions of Release

This COMPLAINT - SUMMONS. WARRANT, ORDER OF DETENTION, duly subscribed and sworn to, is issued by the icial Officer this day of Hilly Rel. 7 unacrsigned Judicial Officer this

Defendant (s)

SIGNATURE:

VA 11:

111111:

Swern assimony has been given before the Judicial Officer by the following witnesses.

STATE OF MINNESOTA COUNTY of Hernepin State of Minnesota Planniff. 1.8. Larry Edwin Craig

Clerk's Signature or File Stamp:

RETURN OF SERVICE Thereby Certify and Return that I have served a copy of this COMPLAINT - SUMMONS, WARRANT ORDER OF DETENTION upon the Defendant (s) herein-named.

Signature of Authorized Service Agents